

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/553,422             | FUKAZAWA ET AL.     |  |

|                 |                 |  |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| <b>Examiner</b> | <b>Art Unit</b> |  |
| MICHAEL C. LAI  | 2157            |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) MICHAEL C. LAI.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Keith J. Townsend.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 May 2008

**Time:** 2pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

10-12

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's representative agreed to replace the phrase "load distribution device" with "load balancer" in claim 10, lines 2, 5, and 10. Claims 10-12 are allowable after the amendment.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)